1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	N, LLP
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
14	VS.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF THE
15 16	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	COURT'S ORDER REGARDING QUESTIONS FOR HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROVISIONAL RELIEF (DKT. 329)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, and the terms of the Court's Order for Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Provisional Relief (Dkt. 329) ("Court's Order"), Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal confidential information in portions of the Court's Order. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the document as listed below:

Document Portions to Be Filed Under Seal

Court's Order (Dkt. 329) Highlighted Portions Waymo (green highlighting)

## I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.* Both the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act and the Defend Trade Secrets Act provide for maintaining the confidentiality of alleged trade secrets. Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.5 ("In an action under this title, a court shall preserve the secrecy of an alleged trade secret by reasonable means, which may include . . . sealing the records of the action[.]"); 18 U.S.C. § 1835(a) ("[T]he court shall enter such orders and take such other action as may be necessary and appropriate to preserve the confidentiality of trade secrets[.]").

## I. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of the Court's Order (portions highlighted green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets and confidential business information. *See* Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Decl."), ¶¶ 3-5. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets.").

Confidential business information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also		
merits sealing. See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to		
seal confidential business information and trade secret information that fit squarely within these		
categories. Jaffe Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31)		
and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47		
Dkt. 25-49.). See Jaffe Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information		
meriting sealing. Id. $\P$ 5. In fact, both Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential information a		
issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. Music Grp., 2015		
WL 3993147, at *1; <i>Brocade</i> , 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to		
seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret and		
confidential business information would harm Waymo (Jaffe Decl. ¶ 4), and, thus, the Court should		
grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.		
II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the		
above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,		
Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under		
seal.		

DATED: May 3, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Lindsay Cooper

Lindsay Cooper Attorneys for WAYMO LLC